

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/634,655	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LASHINSKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Urmi Chattopadhyay	<b>Art Unit</b> 3738	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) Urmi Chattopadhyay.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David L. Hauser.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 November 2005

**Time:** 1:10pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Not applicable.*

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*24-45*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed canceling claims 24-45 for being drawn to a non-elected invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)